

# Going deeper

As you are reading this issue of *SSR in Practice*, don't forget that you also have online access to *SSR in Depth*.

## March *SSR in Depth* contents:

### **ASE Presidential Address: The role of science education at a time of climate crisis and the sixth mass extinction**

*Michael J. Reiss*

**Science notes:** Thinking, braking and stopping  
Measuring the speed of sound

*Frank Harris*

*Syakti Sriyansyah*

### **Promoting constructivism as a pedagogy for trainee science teachers**

*Ade Magaji*

### **Effective secondary physics pedagogy in the UK**

*Chris Hack*

### **Curriculum reform in science**

*Kenneth Rotheram*

### **Book reviews**

*Mike Follows, Colette Christian and Ian Francis*

### **Science websearch**

*Jon Tarrant*



You can access *SSR in Depth* online at  
[www.ase.org.uk/SSR-in-depth/issue-390](http://www.ase.org.uk/SSR-in-depth/issue-390)

# Get involved

## **Could you give our authors feedback as part of the peer-review process?**

If you just answered this question with a 'no', please read on and perhaps think again.

Our reviewers play a critical role in giving feedback to authors as part of *SSR's* peer-review process. Each article is reviewed (anonymously) by two reviewers. It is important that across our reviewing team we have a diverse range of perspectives and expertise.

Some of our reviewers give feedback on the chemistry, biology or physics content of an article or on the clarity of a practical procedure. They may comment on the accessibility of the article to a teacher in the classroom and how useful the article is for classroom practice. We are keen to hear from readers with subject, practical and classroom expertise.

Other reviewers comment on links made to the research literature or the quality of argument or reflection in an article.

If your role involves giving feedback on ITE projects or Masters dissertations then we would like to hear from you too.

If you have a positive and constructive outlook and a desire to support our authors to refine their articles then reviewing for *SSR* could be for you!

If you have read this far and your answer is now 'yes' please complete our reviewer form at:  
<https://forms.gle/4Nzd6wmqek7QU5kRA>

On this form you can let us know whether you would be interested in reviewing articles for *SSR in Practice*, *SSR in Depth*, or both.

If you are still not sure and have further queries relating to what becoming a reviewer entails or your own suitability please email [helenhardenASE@gmail.com](mailto:helenhardenASE@gmail.com)

*Helen Harden*  
Commissioning Editor